

Q^2 -evolution of chiral-odd twist-3 distributions $h_L(x, Q^2)$ and $e(x, Q^2)$ in the large N_c limit

I.I. Balitsky¹, V.M. Braun², Y. Koike³ and K. Tanaka⁴

(1) *Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A*

(2) *NORDITA, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

(3) *Dept. of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata 950-21, Japan*

(4) *Dept. of Physics, Juntendo University, Inba-gun, Chiba 270-16, Japan*

ABSTRACT

We prove that the twist-3 chiral-odd parton distributions obey simple GLAP evolution equations in the limit $N_c \rightarrow \infty$ and give analytic formulae for the corresponding anomalous dimensions. The results are valid to $O(1/N_c^2)$ accuracy and will be useful in confronting with future experiments.

The nucleon has three independent twist-3 parton distributions $g_2(x, Q^2)$, $h_L(x, Q^2)$ and $e(x, Q^2)$ [1]. g_2 is chiral-even while h_L and e are chiral-odd. The increasing precision of experiment requires understanding of these higher twist effects induced by correlations of partons. In particular, g_2 and h_L play a distinguished role in spin physics, since they can be measured as leading effects for certain asymmetries[2,1].

The Q^2 -evolution of twist-3 distributions is generally quite sophisticated due to mixing with quark-antiquark-gluon operators, the number of which increases with spin (moment of the distributions). For the flavor-nonsinglet part of g_2 , a crucial simplification has been pointed out in the large N_c limit, that is neglecting $O(1/N_c^2)$ corrections[3]: $g_2(x, Q^2)$ obeys a simple GLAP evolution equation and the corresponding anomalous dimension is known in analytic form.

In this work[4] we demonstrate that the same pattern is obeyed by chiral-odd distributions $h_L(x, Q^2)$ and $e(x, Q^2)$ and that the simplification is of universal nature. For all practical purposes this solves the problem of the Q^2 -evolution of twist-3 nonsinglet parton distributions, since the corrections $1/N_c^2$ are small.

Following[5,1] we define the parton distributions as nucleon matrix elements of nonlocal light-cone operators (we do not show the gauge phase factors)

$$\int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{-i(P \cdot z)x} \langle PS | \bar{\psi}(z/2) \Gamma \psi(-z/2) | PS \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Here x is the Bjorken variable, z is a light-like vector $z^2 = 0$, and $|PS\rangle$ is the nucleon state with its momentum P and the spin S . The substitution $\Gamma = 1$ and $\sigma_{\mu\nu} i\gamma_5$ generates $e(x)$ and $h_L(x)$ respectively as the twist-3 contributions[1].

By using the equations of motion, the twist-3 quark-antiquark distributions can be expressed in terms of quark-gluon correlations. The relevant operator identities are[6]

$$\bar{\psi}(z)\psi(-z) = \bar{\psi}(0)\psi(0) + \int_0^1 du \int_{-u}^u dt S_1(u, t, -u), \quad (2)$$

$$\bar{\psi}(z)\sigma_{\mu\nu}z_\nu i\gamma_5\psi(-z) = [\bar{\psi}(z)\sigma_{\mu\nu}z_\nu i\gamma_5\psi(-z)]_{\text{twist2}} + iz_\mu \int_0^1 u du \int_{-u}^u t dt S_{i\gamma_5}(u, t, -u), \quad (3)$$

where $S_\Lambda(u, t, v; \mu^2) = \bar{\psi}(uz)\sigma_{\mu\xi}\Lambda g G_{\nu\xi}(tz)z_\mu z_\nu\psi(vz)$ with $\Lambda = 1, i\gamma_5$, and we neglect operators containing total derivatives which are irrelevant for our purposes.

The Q^2 -dependence of the twist-3 distributions is governed by the renormalization group (RG) equation for the corresponding nonlocal operators S_Λ . To leading logarithmic accuracy the evolution for $\Lambda = 1$ and $i\gamma_5$ is the same; hence we drop the subscript in what follows. We introduce the Mellin transformed operators[7,3]

$$S(u, t, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} dj (u-v)^{j-2} S(j, \xi), \quad \xi = \frac{u+v-2t}{u-v}, \quad (4)$$

with j the complex angular momentum; operators with different j do not mix with each other. Neglecting contributions down by $1/N_c^2$, we obtain the RG equation[4]

$$\left(\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right) S(j, \xi; \mu) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 d\eta K_j(\xi, \eta) S(j, \eta; \mu). \quad (5)$$

For the explicit form of the kernel $K_j(\xi, \eta)$, we refer the readers to Ref.[4].

To solve (5) we consider the *conjugate* homogeneous equation

$$\int_{-1}^1 d\eta K_j(\eta, \xi) \phi_j(\eta) = \gamma_j \phi_j(\xi). \quad (6)$$

Then it is easy to see that $\int_{-1}^1 d\xi \phi_j(\xi) S(j, \xi; \mu)$ gives a multiplicatively renormalizable *nonlocal* operator corresponding to the anomalous dimension γ_j .

One can prove that Eq.(6) has two solutions analytic at the points $\xi = \pm 1$: $\phi^+(\xi) = 1$ and $\phi^-(\xi) = \xi$ (all other solutions have logarithmic branching points). The corresponding eigenvalues (anomalous dimensions) respectively equal

$$\gamma_j^\pm = 2N_c \left\{ \psi(j+1) + \gamma_E - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{j+1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \pm 1 \right) \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where $\psi(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln \Gamma(z)$ and γ_E is the Euler constant.

The superscript $\pm$ corresponds to the “parity” under $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$: due to the symmetry of the kernel $K_j(-\eta, -\xi) = K_j(\eta, \xi)$ [4], one can look for separate solutions which are even (odd) under $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$. From Eqs.(2) and (3), the relevant quantities for $e(x)$ and $h_L(x)$ are even and odd “ ξ -parity” pieces of the nonlocal operators.

Substituting the definition (4) into (2) and (3), we observe that our solutions in the large N_c limit give the Q^2 -evolution for the moments:

$$\mathcal{M}_n[e](Q) = L^{\gamma_n^+/b} \mathcal{M}_n[e](\mu); \quad \mathcal{M}_n[\tilde{h}_L](Q) = L^{\gamma_n^-/b} \mathcal{M}_n[\tilde{h}_L](\mu), \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_n[e] \equiv \int_{-1}^1 dx x^n e(x)$, $b = (11N_c - 2N_f)/3$, and $L \equiv \alpha_s(Q)/\alpha_s(\mu)$. $\tilde{h}_L$ is the genuine twist-3 contribution to h_L , after subtracting out the twist-2 piece[1]. These results show simple GLAP evolutions without any complicated operator mixing.

Expansion of nonlocal operators at small quark-antiquark separations generates the series of local operators of increasing dimension. The anomalous dimension matrix for these local operators has been obtained for h_L [8] as well as for e [9]. By comparing our solutions (7) with the spectrum of the anomalous dimensions in the $N_c \rightarrow \infty$ limit, which is obtained by the numerical diagonalization of the mixing matrix in [8,9], we conclude that our solutions always correspond to operators with the *lowest* anomalous dimension in the spectrum (for the detail, see Refs.[4,9]).

To illustrate numerical accuracy of the leading- N_c approximation, consider the result[8] including $1/N_c^2$ corrections for the evolution of the $n = 5$ moment of h_L :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_5[\tilde{h}_L](Q) &= [0.416b_{5,2}(\mu) + 0.193b_{5,3}(\mu)] L^{12.91/b} \\ &+ [0.013b_{5,2}(\mu) - 0.050b_{5,3}(\mu)] L^{18.05/b}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $b_{n,k}(\mu)$ are reduced matrix elements of the independent quark-antiquark-gluon local operators in the notation of [1,8]. This is reduced in the large N_c limit to

$$\mathcal{M}_5[\tilde{h}_L](Q) = \left[\frac{3}{7}b_{5,2}(\mu) + \frac{1}{7}b_{5,3}(\mu) \right] L^{13.7/b}. \quad (10)$$

One observes: the contribution of the operator with the higher anomalous dimension in (9) is small ($\sim 1/N_c^2$), while the one with the lowest anomalous dimension is close to the large N_c limit. This observation is crucial for phenomenology, since description of each moment of the twist-3 distribution now requires one single nonperturbative parameter. Similar phenomenon is observed also for $e(x)$ [9].

To summarize, our solutions provide a powerful framework both in confronting with experimental data and for the model-building. From a general point of view, they are interesting as providing with an example of an interacting three-particle system in which one can find an exact energy of the lowest state. For phenomenology, main lesson is that inclusive measurements of twist-3 distributions are complete (to our accuracy) in the sense that knowledge of the distribution at one value of Q_0^2 is enough to predict its value at arbitrary Q^2 , in the spirit of GLAP evolution equation.

This work is financially supported in part by RIKEN.

- [1] R.L. Jaffe and X. Ji, Nucl. Phys. **B375** (1992) 527.
- [2] K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** (1996) 587.
- [3] A. Ali, V.M. Braun and G. Hiller, Phys. Lett. **B266** (1991) 117.
- [4] I.I. Balitsky, V.M. Braun, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 3078.
- [5] J.C. Collins and D.E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. **B194** (1982) 445.
- [6] V.M. Braun and I.E. Filyanov, Z. Phys. **C48** (1990) 239.
- [7] I.I. Balitsky and V.M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. **B311** (1988/89) 541.
- [8] Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Phys. Rev **D51** (1995) 6125.
- [9] Y. Koike and N. Nishiyama, in these proceedings; hep-ph/9609207.